

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ew.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,001 02/26/2002		Jack S. Oh	DPL/19	4285		
26875	7590	03/13/2003				
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP				EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202				HYLTON, ROE	IN ANNETTE	
CINCINNA	VII, OH 4	3202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3727		
				DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				EP.
		Application N	lo. Applicant(s)	
		10/083,001	OH, JACK S.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Robin Hylton	3727	
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app ars on the co	v r she t with the correspondence a	ıddr ss
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply or reply received by the Office later than three months a ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory atutory period will apply and will exp will, by statute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be timely filed minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this on to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is nor	n-final.	
3) 🗌 Disposi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims			the merits is
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consid	leration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16,18-22,24,25 and 27-3	<u>0</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠	Claim(s) 17,23 and 26 is/are objected	ed to.	•	
-	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election requ	irement.	
Applica	tion Papers			
·	The specification is objected to by the		•	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	, , , , , , , ,	•	
			held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	
11)[The proposed drawing correction file		,	iner.
40\□	If approved, corrected drawings are re-		action.	
	The oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	i for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
а) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority			
	<u> </u>		eceived in Application No	
*	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal See the attached detailed Office action 	national Bureau (PCT Rul	le 17.2(a)).	al Stage
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).
	a) The translation of the foreign lar Acknowledgment is made of a claim t			
Attachme	-	, , ,		
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		= '' `	
				

Application/Control Number: 10/083,001

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should include at least one technical, or inventive, feature of the claimed instant invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are rejected for the following reasons:

Claim 6 is recites the limitation "the anti-back off member" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since "at least one" was previously set forth in the claims.

Dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as depending from rejected base claims since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5,11,27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Birch (US 4,125,201).

To the degree set forth, Birch anticipates the claims wherein the uppermost portion of the thread is any upper portion of the helical thread. Application/Control Number: 10/083,001

Art Unit: 3727

5. Claims 1-5, 18,19,27,28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baugh (US 3,682,345).

See figure 1.

Regarding claims 18, 19 and 30, the helical thread of the closure engages the thread of the container between the thread portion and the anti-back off member.

6. Claims 1-7,9,12,13,15,18,20,21,24,25,27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Braun (US 3,511,403).

The container thread 12 is between the anti-back off member 24 and the closure thread 23 as seen in figures 2 and 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birch in view of applicant's admission of known equivalents.

Birch teaches the claimed closure except for the threading being segmented into a plurality of circumferentially spaced closure threading groups.

Applicant admits a single helical thread and a plurality of thread segments are known in the art for engaging closure threading to container threading.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a plurality of thread segments or groups in a group for the single thread of Birch as an obvious choice of known equivalents.

9. Claims 6-10,20,21,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baugh.

Baugh teaches the claimed closure including threading being segmented into a plurality of circumferentially spaced closure threading groups.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a plurality of thread segments or groups in a group for the single thread of Baugh as an obvious choice of known equivalents.

10. Claims 12-16,24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baugh in view of Hirotoshi (JP 2-282060).

Baugh teaches the claimed closure except for a plurality of anti-back off members in at least one of the closure threading groups.

Hirotoshi teaches it is known to provide a plurality of anti-back off members to a closure thread.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of anti-back off members to the at least one closure thread groupings. Doing so insures the closure is more resistant to back off.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 17,23, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various closures having features similar to that disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.

Art Unit: 3727

- 13. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 305-3579. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 14. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The I	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled t S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 305-3579 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RAH March 7, 2003

Patent Examiner GAU 3727